UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION NEW YORK DISTRICT OFFICE 33 WHITEHALL STREET, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10004

SANDRA N. McCONNELL, ET AL., a/k/a Velva B., Class Agent

Complainant,

v.

MEGAN J. BRENNAN, Postmaster General, UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,

Agency.

**EEOC Hearing No.: 520-2010-00280X** 

Previous Appeal Nos.: 0720160006, 0720160007, 0720080054

EEOC Request Nos.: 0520180094 & 0520180095

EEOC Appeal No.: 0120182505 Agency Case No.: 4B-140-0062-06

### **RULINGS & ORDERS**

#### MISCELLANEOUS MOTIONS

Atty. Wallace's April 1, 2019 *Motion to Show Cause Regarding Sanctions against the Agency* is **DENIED** 

Phase I Counsel's June 27, 2019 Motion for Sanctions against the Agency is **DENIED** 

Requests for Extension of time appear to be now **MOOT** and will not be ruled upon.

#### RULING REGARDING NRP ACTIVITY FILES

It is undisputed that NRP Activity files were produced to Phase I Class Counsel during discovery. Such production took place over several months back in 2012 and 2013. Therefore, these activity files should already be in the possession of Phase I Class Counsel. To the extent that Complainants' counsel has requested that the Agency is to re-produce said files, such request is **DENIED.** 

However, if it has not done so already, the Agency is **ORDERED** to produce the NRP Activity Files for all 28,000 claimants for which there are disputes to the AJ by September 6, 2019.

## RULING REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF TRANSCRIPTS OF STATUS CONFERENCES

Complainants' request that the Agency pay for and produce the transcripts of every status conference is **DENIED**. All of my ORDERS are sent to the parties via e-mail, thus the vast majority of complainants are aware of what I have ruled. I also receive numerous calls and e-mails from *pro se* litigants seeking clarification of my rulings and asking questions regarding the process, so they are aware of how the litigation is progressing. If a party wishes to secure a copy of a transcript they will bear the cost to do so.

## **DESIGNATION OF REPRESENTATION**

Having read through the motions, the issue of Phase I Class Counsel's representation seems to keep coming up. The Agency's arguments that it cannot produce confidential information to Phase I Class Counsel without there being a clear, unambiguous designation of representation form is not only persuasive but a legitimate contention. I will not order the Agency to produce files which may contain confidential medical information for hundreds if not thousands of claimants to Phase I Class Counsel unless said claimants have expressly and voluntarily designated and authorized Counsel to act on their behalf.

**THEREFORE**, Phase I Class Counsel is **ORDERED** to produce a clear, simple designation of representation form for all claimants for which one has not already been presented to the Agency

Within 60 days of receipt of this ORDER Mr. Wright (Phase I Class Counsel) and Agency counsel will confer to determine which claimants are still in need of such a designation, once that is determined and the designations have been presented to the Agency, the Agency will produce all NRP files previously identified as corrupted.

#### PARTIALLY ACCEPTED/PARTIALLY DISPUTED CLAIMS

All claimants, regardless of whether the Agency partially accepted some of their claims, will be processed through the Phase II Damages/Remedial Adjudication.

**THEREFORE**, the Agency will have 60 days from receipt of this **ORDER** to file their statement in support of its decision to dispute a class member's claim, attaching all relevant supporting documents/evidence. A copy must be served on the complainants and their duly appointed representative.

Complainants, if they have not done so already, will submit a statement and any relevant documentation in support of their claim for relief. Claimants' submissions are due 60 days within receipt of the Agency's statement of dispute. A copy must be provided to the Agency.

The parties' submissions should be in accordance with my February 27, 2019 ORDER, pg. 3 (section on submissions).

## **SETTLEMENT**

I will issue a separate order discussing my thoughts on settlement before the end of the week.

Our next status conference is scheduled for **Thursday, September 26, 2019 at 2pm**. The Agency is instructed to create a dedicated conference line and send to all

SO ORDERED Date: August 6, 2019

For the Commission: /s/Monique J. Roberts-Draper

Monique J. Roberts-Draper Administrative Judge

U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Redacted

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

For timeliness purposes, it will be presumed that this **ORDER** was received immediately upon electronic transmission. I certify this **ORDER** was sent to the following parties on August 6, 2019:

# **Agency Counsel**

Eve G. Burton, Esq.
U.S. Postal Service Law Department
Redacted

# **Counsel**

Michael J. Lingle THOMAS & SOLOMON, LLP Redacted

Michael J. Kator Jeremey D. Wright KATOR, PARKS, WEISER & HARRIS, P.L.L.C. Redacted

David Weiser KATOR, PARKS, WEISER & HARRIS, P.L.L.C.

Redacted

Elaine Wallace Redacted

Steven Millard
MILLARD ADMINISTRATIVE
REPRESNETATION
Redacted

M. Jermaine Watson
M. J. WATSON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Redacted